	Case 2:16-cv-00302-NVW Document 425-1	Filed 10/14/20 Page 1 0i 6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	UNITED STATES D DISTRICT OF	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Richard Di Donato, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  Insys Therapeutics, Inc.; Michael L. Babich; Darryl S. Baker; and John N. Kapoor,  Defendants.	No. 16-cv-00302-NVW  CLASS ACTION  [PROPOSED] ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li><li>19</li><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> </ul>		
20		

This matter came on for hearings on Class Counsel's motions for reimbursement of Litigation Expenses (Docs. 406, 425) filed in connection with the settlements achieved in the above-captioned action ("Action") with defendants Darryl S. Baker and Michael L. Babich (the "Baker Settlement" and "Babich Settlement," respectively) and Class Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees (Doc. 410) filed in connection with the settlement achieved in the Action with defendant John N. Kapoor (the "Kapoor Settlement" and, together with the Baker and Babich Settlements, the "Settlements").

The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Fairness Hearings and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of each of the Settlements and Settlement Fairness Hearings substantially in the forms approved by the Court were mailed to all Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of each of the Settlements and Settlement Fairness Hearings substantially in the forms approved by the Court was published in *Investor's Business Daily* and transmitted over the *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court set forth in each of its Orders preliminarily approving the Settlements (Docs. 347, 373, 402); and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of Class Counsel's motions for reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and award of attorneys' fees,

## NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in: (i) the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement Between Lead Plaintiff and Defendant Darryl S. Baker dated May 22, 2020 (Doc. 341-1); (ii) the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement Between Lead Plaintiff and Defendant John N. Kapoor dated July 1, 2020 (Doc. 371-1); and (iii) the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement Between Lead Plaintiff and Defendant Michael L. Babich dated July 21, 2020 (Doc. 399-1) (collectively, the

The Court held a final hearing for each of the Settlements (collectively, the "Settlement Fairness Hearings"). The final hearing for the Baker Settlement was held on September 23, 2020, the final hearing for the Kapoor Settlement was held on October 15, 2020, and the final hearing for the Babich Settlement was held on November 18, 2020.

hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \_\_\_\_% of the Settlement Fund created in

- the Kapoor Settlement, which sum the Court finds to be fair and reasonable. In accordance with the Stipulation for the Kapoor Settlement, any attorneys' fees awarded by the Court shall be paid to Class Counsel from the Down Payment immediately upon award, and immediately from any other proceeds obtained through the Kapoor Settlement as those proceeds are received into the Escrow Account (without the need for additional Court Orders). Class Counsel shall allocate the attorneys' fees awarded amongst Plaintiffs' Counsel in a manner which it, in good faith, believes reflects the contributions of such counsel to the institution, prosecution, and settlement of the Action.
- 8. In making the foregoing awards for reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and attorneys' fees, the Court has considered and found that:
- (a) The Settlements will provide the Class with a collective recovery of no less than \$2.95 million with the potential to increase to \$12.25 million, and will resolve this Action in its entirety. Numerous Class Members who submit acceptable Claim Forms will benefit from the Settlements that occurred because of the efforts of Plaintiffs' Counsel;
- (b) The requested expense amounts in connection with the Baker and Babich Settlements have been approved as reasonable by Class Representative; and the fee sought in connection with the Kapoor Settlement is based on a retainer agreement entered into between Class Representative and Class Counsel at the outset of Class Representative's involvement in the Action, and is supported by Class Representative;
- (c) In the aggregate, more than 93,600 Postcard Settlement Notices and 12,600 long-form Settlement Notice for the Settlements were mailed to potential Class Members and nominees, and the long-form Settlement Notices for the Settlements which included the Plan of Allocation were posted on the Website. Docs. 407-2, 411-2, 426-2. An additional 886 long-form Settlement Notices (including the Plan of Allocation) were downloaded from the Website. Docs. 423-1, 426-2. The notices for the Baker Settlement stated that Class Counsel would apply for reimbursement of Litigation Expenses in an amount not to exceed \$650,000, which amount may include a request for reimbursement

to Class Representative in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$15,000; the notices for the Kapoor Settlement stated that Class Counsel would apply for an award of attorneys' fees, inclusive of any remaining Litigation Expenses incurred by Plaintiffs' Counsel in connection with the institution, prosecution, and resolution of the claims against Defendant Kapoor which were not sought to be reimbursed in connection with the Baker Settlement, in an amount not to exceed 30% of the Settlement Fund in the aggregate; and the notices for the Babich Settlement stated that Class Counsel would apply for reimbursement of Litigation Expenses in an amount not to exceed \$75,000;

- (d) Plaintiffs' Counsel conducted the litigation and achieved the Settlements with skill, perseverance, and diligent advocacy;
  - (e) The Action raised a number of complex issues;
- (f) Had Plaintiffs' Counsel not achieved the Settlements, there would remain a significant risk that Class Representative and the other members of the Class may have recovered less or nothing from Defendants after trial;
- (g) In the aggregate, Plaintiffs' Counsel have expended \$1,202,389.60 in expenses and devoted more than 22,306 hours, with a collective lodestar value of \$11,539,774.75, to achieve the Settlements;
- (h) The amounts of Litigation Expenses awarded from the Settlement Funds in the Baker and Babich Settlements are fair and reasonable and supported by the facts of the Action and the law, and the attorneys' fees awarded from the Settlement Fund in the Kapoor Settlement are fair and reasonable and consistent with awards in similar cases; and
- (i) Not a single Class Member has objected to the requests for reimbursement of Litigation Expenses in connection with the Baker and Babich Settlements or the request for an award of attorneys' fees in connection with the Kapoor Settlement.

## Case 2:16-cv-00302-NVW Document 425-1 Filed 10/14/20 Page 6 of 6

9. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval regarding any application for attorneys' fees or reimbursement of Litigation Expenses shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment. 10. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the parties and the Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation, or enforcement of the Stipulations and this Order. 11. In the event that the Settlements are terminated or the Effective Dates of the Settlements otherwise fail to occur, this Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Stipulations. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate 12. entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed. SO ORDERED.